Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192.

If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: PEOPLES GAS LIGHT AND COKE CO.	Operator ID#: 15329
Inspection Date(s): 4/1/2014, 4/2/2014, 4/3/2014	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: North Shop	
Location of Audit: Chicago	
Exit Meeting Contact: John Findley	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Steve Canestrini	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Tom Webb	
Company Representative's Email Address: TJWebb@peoplesgasdelivery.com	
	-

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION					
Location of Construction:	Construction Performed By:				
4400 block of North Lockwood, Chicago.	Michels Construction				
Contractor Foreman:					
Operator Inspector:					
Person(s) On Job Site					
Phil Harrison	Russ Orent	Jay Floyd			
Jorge Barraza	Lance Seppe	Fernando Herrara			
Steve Bianchitta	David Lee	Jon Pocius			
Imran White	Ken Woodruff				
Description of Construction: Michels Construction was installing an 18" high					

MAIN INSTALLATION Status

Category Comment:

As the fusion operator was preparing to perform a butt fusion, Staff identified gouges on the heating plate that was going to be used. It was brought to the fusion operator's attention. The fusion operator attempted to use a second heating plate, but that plate also had gouges. The fusion operator then went back to their shop to obtain refurbished plates. When Staff observed these plates that had not yet been used, deep gouges were also present.

Staff's concern is there were two qualified fusion operators working on the fusion equipment, an O.Q. inspector from the contractor, and a PGL inspector on the job site. Ensuring the equipment is in proper working condition is part of the daily inspection that is to be performed, along with two inspectors who could or should have seen the defects in the equipment. And yet, the fusion operators were ready to use the defective equipment to perform a fusion. The inspector is on the job site to ensure the contractor is following Peoples Gas procedures to ensure quality work is being performed. Peoples Gas has given the inspector the authority to stop a job. However the inspector must be willing use that authority to stop the job until the issue(s) has been resolved. There is also a concern about the quality of refurbished heating plates that are being supplied by the manufacturer.

[192.55] Steel **No**

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.59]	PE	Yes
[192.59]	Other	No
[192.5]	Class location :	4
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	25
[192.63]	Pipe Size :	8"
[192.55,192.59]	Specification:	PE 3408/4710
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	DriscoPlex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	D2513
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	0.625
[192.63(a)(2)]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Observed various fittings and pipe. All were properly m	parked for identification.	
[192.227]	Date of Welder qualification:	Not Applicable
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
Due to issues identified with the fusing equipment, no fu	using was performed.	
[192.285]	Date of Plastic Joining Qualification :	12/18/13
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	David Lee
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE main installation.		
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE main installation.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE main installation.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
PE main installation.		
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE main installation.		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All facilities being installed were below gro	und.	
[192.303]	Are comprehensive written construction specifications available and adhered to?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The foreman and inspector have the speci	ifications available on site.	
[192.305]	Are inspections performed by the operator to check adherence to the construction specifications?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
There was a company inspector on site.		
[192.307]	Is material being visually inspected at the site of installation to ensure against damage that could impair its serviceability?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Did observe the fusion operator inspect the	e pipe while preparing the pipe to be fused.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The section of the ditch that was visible ha	nd a sand base to prevent damage to the pipe.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The main was installed in an open ditch.		
[192.321(e)]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A locate wire was installed next to the mai	n in the ditch.	
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
Did observe where the main would cross an existing ga	as and water main. The contractor was allowing for the clearances in anticipation o	of the new main.
[192.327]	Is required cover being obtained appropriate to type of pipeline and location?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The main was approximately 38" in depth.		
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
The main was not being tested at this time. It was in the	ne process of installation.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
The main was not being tested at this time. It was in the	ne process of installation.	
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Reviewed the OQ records for the employees on the job	site. All were qualified in the tasks being performed.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being "directed and observed" by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All the employees on the job site were qualified.		
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	
All the employees on the job site were qualified.		
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Reviewed the dig and permit for the job. Both were val	lid for the dates and location.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	458414859
	ı	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.